NGA WHAKATATAU MATUA KEY ASSUMPTIONS



Our Significant Assumptions

When we are planning we have to make some assumptions about what is going to happen in the future. We can never know for certain what is going to happen, so we make these assumptions based on the best information we have available to us. Below are the assumptions we have made for the LTP and Financial Strategy 2021-31, and the Infrastructure Strategy 2021-51.

	LTP and Financial Strategy 2021-31, and the Infrastruc						
			we've used t ssumption				
	What we've assumed		Growth and demand Lifecycle of assets Levels of service		Risk	Level of uncertainty	Reasons for uncertainty and financial impacts
1.	Covid-19 The global Covid-19 pandemic continues to develop across the world. In response to the pandemic and threat to public health the whole of New Zealand went into a high level lockdown on Wednesday 25 March 2020. A further high level lockdown for Auckland and a lower level lockdown for the rest of the Country occured on Wednesday 12 August 2020. The long term impact on local, regional and national economies is yet to be determined. For the purpose of the LTP and the associated strategies we have assumed that there will be no significant impact on our activities and services as a result of Covid-19 or other pandemics. We have used the mid scenario in terms of recovery which expects a prolonged but generally healthy economic recovery for our district.	✓		\checkmark	The risk is high, as we are seeing resurgence of the virus overseas. There is an ongoing risk that New Zealand will have another resurgence of the virus, which may trigger another lockdown of the economy- either regionally or nationally to some extent.	Hgh	Measures to contain Covid-19 in New Zealand have resulted in a severe economic downturn. Levels of activity and employment have declined, with income and spending consequently uncertain. During 2019/20 there was a reduction in revenue from Council's facilities (eg. pools, aerodrome, Firth Tower and libraries) that were closed during the lockdown. Fees and charges were around \$170,000 lower than budgeted over the period of the lockdown. A prolonged future lockdown may also impact on income from resource consents, development contributions and the timing of our capital exenditure. Should the Civil Defence Emergency Operating Centre be activated again in response to Covid-19, there may also be additional operational costs to Council. Due to the uncertainties relating to Covid-19, the potential financial impact cannot be quantified. Council has established a recovery reserve fund as a tool to mitigate financial impacts.
2.	Changing weather patterns and natural hazards We have assumed that there will be no significant impact from natural disaster and that our funding of civil defence will continue. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report was completed in 2014. This states that warming of the climate system is unequivocal, and since the 1950s, many of the observed changes are unprecedented. Central Government recognises climate change as a long term strategic issue for New Zealand. NIWA's Climate Change Projections for New Zealand projects higher temperatures and less rainfall across the Waikato Region. The Ministry of Environment has indicated for the Waikato region that there is likely to be more frequent droughts which is likely to lead to water shortages. Our infrastructure asset management plans take these projections into account as part of the overall planning and budget preparation. In the Strategy we have taken the effects of climate change into account for certain activities that it would affect the most (such as stormwater, water and wastewater). Climate change could also pose challenges for the District in relation to land use and the economy in the future (such as crop production). We recognise New Zealand's vulnerability to natural disasters. If our communities are not adequately prepared we may not be able to recover from a natural disaster.	✓			The risk is low in the short term and there is a medium risk for the term of the Infrastructure Strategy. Projected climate change and hazard scenarios (such as storm events) could occur more or less regularly than what has been projected. A lack of preparedness and resilience in the event of a natural disaster would compromise our ability to provide services to the community. Significant natural disasters could compromise our community's ability to pay for services.	ндр	Changing weather patterns and natural hazards could have adverse impacts on public and private property, and our infrastructure such as the roading and stormwater networks. Overestimating the effects of climate change or hazards could result in unnecessary work, but underestimating the effects could impact on emergency project works. Either scenario would affect ratepayers as infrastructure and hazard planning cost money. A significant natural disaster could disrupt our economy and day to day activity, reducing the ability of our community to pay for services and significantly increase insurance costs – as has been seen with the Canterbury earthquakes. The financial effects of these risks depend on the occurrence and scale of future natural disasters, so the timing and financial impact on the forecasts in the Strategy cannot be quantified.
3.	Te tiriti o Waitangi/Treaty of Waitangi Settlements We have assumed that there will be no significant additional costs to us arising from Treaty of Waitangi settlements, including co-management agreements.	✓			The impact of Te tiriti o Waitangi/Treaty of Waitangi settlements may be greater than expected.	Low	The government is in the process of completing settlement negotiations with Iwi in and around our District. The outcomes of the settlement processes have already or will result in co-governance arrangements. We will need to partner with Iwi and other councils in the Waikato Region in the formation and operation of co-governance entities. Co-management processes may add costs, but we

formation and operation of co-governance entities. Co-management processes may add costs, but we have not been able to quantify what those costs (if

any) will be.

Changes to legislation have a direct impact on the way we conduct our business. The speed and scale of review of legislation depends largely on the policy direction and priorities of the government of the day.

We expect there to be changes to legislation during the life of the LTP, but we have assumed that these will not have a significant effect on our business, with the exception of the 'Three Waters' reform and Resource Management Act 1991 reform which we have described as individual assumptions below.

Central government will reform legislation and this may have a significant effect on the activities we undertake and the cost of providing them.

change.

We are aware of ongoing discussions in Parliament around electoral reform, and would also anticipate some changes to legislation that affect local councils as a result of the three waters reform. Further changes and direction from Central Government are also anticipated as part of the implementation of the Climate Change Zero Carbon Amendment Act.

At the time of writing no details about potential changes are known, and the LTP has been prepared based on the legislation in force at the time of writing. We will continue to monitor this space closely.

Our LTP, Financial Strategy and Infrastructure Strategy have been prepared based on status quo, as at the time of writing we cannot reliably quantify what the impact of any changes may be. Regardless of how the entity is structured in the future, Council is focused on continuing to provide good value infrastructure for our community.

Reasons for uncertainty: At the time of writing, we know with some certainty that new legislation around how the three water services will be provided in the future is likely to come into effect during the life of the LTP. The details of what this may look like and the timing if implementation is still highly uncertain, and therefore the impact on Levels of Service and financial forecasts remain unknown. It is expected that the next piece of legislation regarding setting up the new water service providers will be confirmed during 2021-22. If and when any new delivery model has been established through legislation, we may be required to undertake a LTP amendment and we will consult with our community accordingly.

Financial Impact: The current value of Council's three water assets is \$174 million (approximately 26% of Council's total assets). Annual rates revenue collected to maintain and renew these assets is approximately \$12.7 million (approximately 35% of Council's total annual rates revenue). Any changes to our balance sheets would potentially also impact on our ability to borrow and debt levels. In addition, it is likely that changes in the three waters will have significant on the remaining functions of Council (refer also to assumption 7).

The Government's proposal (as of June 2021):

- Council expects between four and eight entities to be established, likely four.
- Entities to have a desired scale of approximately 1,000,000 connections or greater.
- New entities will be the supplier of last resort, independent of MPDC participation in a new entity.
 Council expects to be grouped with the Councils in
- the Waikato-Bay of Plenty super-region.
- Asset ownership and debt to transfer to new
- New entities be established in law.

Council has signed a Memorandum of Understanding with to work collaboratively on the preparation of setting up a new provider.

Council expects the qualification requirements for network operators to increase over time, this has been accounted for in budgets and initiatives (some funded by reform stimulus)

Three Waters Reform

4.

The Taumata Arowai-the Water Services Regulator Act 2020 came into force in August 2020. The Act establishes a water services regulator (Taumata Arowai) to oversee and enforce a new drinking water regulatory framework, with an additional oversight role for wastewater and stormwater networks.

The Government has announced a programme to reform local government water services delivery arrangements.

The Government has indicated its intention for the creation of new entities that are of significant scale, asset owning, and publicly owned to deliver water services.

We expect there will be changes to the three water services delivery arrangements and this may have a significant impact on our business, for example if the reforms result in assets transferring from Council to a new

For the purposes of the LTP forecasts we have assumed we will continue to deliver water and wastewater services over the full term of the LTP. Under the reform programme, we may handover operations to a new service organisation during the term of the LTP. However, our LTP financial strategy and infrastructure strategy have been prepared based on the status quo, as at the time of writing we cannot reliably quantify what the impact of any changes may be.

We have assumed that the Stormwater activity will remain unchanged over the life of the LTP.

We have assumed that the costs of transition would be funded from future stimulus funding rounds from central government.



The Three Waters Reform may significantly change the way the three waters services are delivered and funded and that this change will result in significant funding and resourcing impli cations for the rest of Council (refer also to

assumption 7).

How we've used this assumption Level of uncertainty ifecycle of assets Levels of service What we've assumed Risk Reasons for uncertainty and financial impacts Following on from the review panel's recommendations which were released in June 2020 (https://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/ new-directions-resource-management-new-zealand-report-of-resource-management-review), the Government has announced that it Resource Management Act 1991 Review plans to repeal the Resource Management Act 1991(RMA) and replace it with three new pieces The Resource Management Review Panel was appointed of legislation. by the Minister for the Environment in 2019 to review and provide recommendations on how to improve environmental outcomes and better enable urban and The three new Acts will be the: A major reform of the other development within environmental limits. Resource Management · Natural and Built Environments Act (NBA) to Act 1991 may signifiprovide for land use and environmental regulation (this would be the primary replacement for the RMA) Our LTP, in particular our Resource Consents and cantly change the way Monitoring and Strategies and Planning activities have been prepared based on status quo, as at the time of our spatial and strategic planning will be · Strategic Planning Act (SPA) to integrate with other legislation relevant to development, and writing we cannot reliably quantify what the impact of any occur in the future. changes may be. require long-term regional spatial strategies · Climate Change Adaptation Act (CAA) to ad-Our asset management approach (for example applying dress complex issues associated with managed for new resource consents) also assumes status quo retreat and funding and financing adaptation. this will need to be revised once more detailed legislative changes are available. Environment Minister David Parker has indicated that the National and Built Environments Act, as the conner piece of legislation replacing the RMA, will be progressed first and expects that the complete NBA and the SPA will be formally introduced into Parliament by the end of 2021, with the NBA passed by the end of 2022 Reorganisation processes can be triggered by the community under the Local Government Act 2002. If this occurred we would need to respond to any proposal with a decision being made by the Local Government Commission. Reform can also be driven by Central Government. The proposed reforms to Three Waters Services and the Resource Management Act 1991 may result in significant changes to local government structure. On 24 April 2021 the Minister of Local Government announced that she had estab-lished a Ministerial Inquiry into the Future for Local Government. The overall purpose of the review is to "identify how our system of local democracy needs to evolve over the next 30 years, to improve the well-being of New Zealand communities and the environment, and actively embody the treaty partnership." The review includes, but is not limited to, the following: Local government structure Reorganisation could occur, resulting in an amalgamation of We have assumed that the structure of local government will remain the same and that no reorganisation process will occur during the life of the LTP. roles, functions, and partnerships councils and a review of functions within the representation and governance and Waikato Region. funding and financing A final report is expected to be delivered to the Minister and Local Government New Zealand by 30 April 2023. While the review could recommend significant change to what local government is and does, there is no information available on the likely direction for the review at this time. Council considers it unlikely that any recommendations could take effect before 1 July 2024 – particularly for changes to roles or functions. Any changes that are made will be incorporated in the 2024-34 long-term plan.

Unless specifically stated otherwise, Council has prepared the plan on the assumption its existing role and functions will continue for the life of the plan. At the time of writing, what these changes may look like is difficult to predict and therefore we are unable to quantify potential impact.

Plan Change 47 in 2017, reviewing the areas provided for

Land use change projections (hectares) from 2013 – 2063 are described in more detail in the Financial Strategy.

development in our three main towns.

			e've use				
	What we've assumed	Growth and demand	Lifecycle of assets	Levels of service	Risk	Level of uncertainty	Reasons for uncertainty and financial impacts
10.	Revenue from development contributions Using the growth data as a basis, we have assumed that over the next 10 years income from development contributions will occur at a steady rate over the life of the LTP. This is in line with the population projections noted above. We anticipate growth tapering off past the current 10 year time period.	√		√	Growth could be higher or lower than projected due to an increased in births, a decrease in deaths or a change in migration or other influences. Growth may also not occur in the areas where we have provided for development.	Medium	The growth component of new capital projects is funded from development contributions. If growth does not occur as predicted, revenue from development contributions will drop and we may have to borrow additional funds or reconsider the projects. Revenue from development contributions over the 10 year time period is \$18.6 million.
11.	Major industries There are a number of major industrial entities operating in the Matamata-Piako District that contribute significantly to our revenue streams including metered water and Tradewaste income, as well as income related to development. We have assumed (unless stated otherwise), that these major industrial entities will continue to operate and require the same services over the 10 years of the plan.	✓		✓	One or more of the major industrial entities could leave the District, or build their own infrastructure, reducing their reliance on Council, and reducing the revenue stream and potentially stranding Council assets.	Low	A significant drop in Tradewaste or metered water revenue would require either additional funding to be obtained through rates, or a reduction in the level of service provided. Approximately 75% of our metered water revenue and 73% of our Tradewaste revenue comes from our five main industrial users. Council is currently reviewing the basis of trade waste fees and charges. These charges affect tankered waste primarily, with larger industrial trade waste users having individual trade waste agreements. An increase in trade waste fees may result in a reduction in demand from Councils septage reception service.
12.	Rating valuations All properties in the District will be revalued in 2021 with new values taking effect from 1 July 2022 and every three years after that.	✓			It is possible that this process will change the incidence of rates (e.g. rural values may increase by a greater proportion than urban values).	Medium	No allowance has been made for the possible impact of changes in rating valuations in this plan. We have the opportunity to review this annually as part of the Annual Plan.
13.	Funding of future replacement of significant assets We have assumed that depreciation will fund the renewal of significant assets and loans will fund any shortfall if depreciation reserves have been exhausted.				Funding will not be available to replace assets.		If loan funding for renewals is required above the level budgeted, this would also increase interest costs above what has been budgeted for. Each additional \$1 million borrowed would increase interest costs by \$15,872 per annum and increase rates by 0.04%

					ve used this					
		What we've assumed		Growth and demand	Lifecycle of assets original control of assets original control of service	Risk	Level of uncertainty	Reasons	for uncertainty an	d financial impacts
	Assets - Us	eful life and asset info	ormation							
14.	accordance set out in ou in Section 7. be replaced on a 'like for using the mo available at 1 replaced. The and estimate depreciated over infrastr significant as on an annua undertaken imay have sh given period Planned asse expenditure on the same	ves of assets are assessed with the depreciation rain rinancial Statement North It is assumed that asset at the end of their usefulike' basis (i.e. location, bot appropriate material the time the asset is renere are a number of assessed when performing replacement cost valuations assets classes will be performed that if we are concerned that ifted significantly over a of time for the other asset acquisitions (as per the programme) will be dephasis as existing assets. It data confidence and a various asset groups are the relevant asset sections (Strategy.	ites as otes as otes as will all life size) sewed/umptions gettions of formed lso be a values any sets. The capital preciated assets as sets.		>	The physical deterioratio and condition assessment used in the valuation of an asset could be at an amount that does not reflect its actual condition. This is a particular risk for those assets that are not visible, such as underground stormwater wastewater, and water supply pipes.	гом	last for their have been id Management date indicate Asset replace end of their tresult in a los Earlier replace capital projedebt limits. To combination modelling as	design lives (expendified through to a support Standares no significant element is budgete useful life and eares on disposal of a sement could me cts to remain with his risk is minimi of physical inspensessments of und	et components will ected lifespan). These the National Asset rds and experience to rrors. d at the expected lier replacement will any residual value. an deferring other nin our self-imposed sed by performing a ctions and condition derground assets; or surplus capacity of
15.	adjusted to i over the nex Strategy, wh adjusted for were provide Research Lir 2020, who w of Local Gov such forecas government have used the (LGCI) which components expenditure applied on a inflation fact the LTP is 2.3 used in the c Strategy for	ed figures in this LTP have no lude inflation expectation years. The Infrastruction has a 30 year horizon inflation. Inflation forection to the section of t	ations cture n, is also casts comic coer cociety rovide cal We sst Index assed on capital elow are average ears of ion factor astructure 6.2.3%	>		Inflation occurs at rates much different than forecast.	Medium	that are out certainty th inflation is I funding ma levels of ser For example 1% higher the additional \$	side of our contri at the forecasts wower than projecty be required to rivice. e, if inflation in the han forecast, this sage, 450 in funding	vill be accurate. If ted, then additional naintain the existing e 2022/23 year was would require an ng.
	2021/22	2022/23 2023	3/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31
	0%	2.91% 2.55%	/o	2.48%	2.60%	2.54%	.56%	2.75%	2.75%	2.60%

				Hammah	ad this										
					e used this										
		What we've assu	med		Growth and demand Lifecycle of assets Levels of service			Level of uncertainty	•	Reasons for uncertainty and financial impacts					
	Borrowing														
16.	access to loa rates. Our st assumption, the Local Go is more cert: government as needed. The borrowing forward star that are curring plan. The intitle current is rate over the assumed creasumed cr	umed that we wan funds at comprong balance shand as a borrow wernment Fundiainty in Council. Sector in raising the projected awar each of the 10 v. These rates in ting interest rate ently in place for enest rate project mplied market enext 10 years. The edit margin for the tand the raising in for the council of the project of the proj				Interest rates are higher than expected.				There is no certainty that the forecasted interest rates will be accurate. If the forecasted borrowing rate proved to be significantly understated, then additional funding may be required to maintain existing levels of service. An increase in the interest rate of 0.5% would increase interest costs for 2021/22 by \$185,912 and rates by 0.52%					
	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/2	27	2027/	/28	2028/29	202	9/30	2030/31		
	3.40%	3.13%	2.97%	3.01%	3.30%	3.47%		3.65%	ó	3.83%	3.93	3%	3.98%		
17.	investments implied 90 d wholesale sw 50 basis poir We have not investments the next 10 y Financial Strain will be used 1 internal intercalculated at Council's ave	rate projections shown below ar ay bank bill rate vap curve, with ants p.a. budgeted to recheld for strategiears of this planategy).	e driven by the s as implied by the an added margin of ceive returns on c purposes over (as set out in the borrowed funds as. Forecast below, are int between prrowing and	√		Interest rat than expec internal rat could be m than foreca	ted. The e of intere uch lower	est	Mediin	ir fo	nterest rates precasted in ignificantly c unding may xisting levels decrease in f 0.5% woul by \$53,000 a 20.15%. A reducesult in a shi	will be acciterest rate poverstated, be required as of service. If the investred decrease and increase uction in interest the total potential between the	the forecasted urate. If the proved to be then additional to maintain are to ment interest rate interest income rates required by ernal interest would general and targeted verall, would have		
		2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/2	27 20	027/2	28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31		
	stment est rates	1.35%	1.51%	1.73%	1.96%	2.19%	2.41%	/o	2.59	9%	2.76%	2.90%	3.03%		
Inter	nal interest	2.38%	2.32%	2.35%	2.49%	2.75%	2.94%	%	3.12	%	3.29%	3.42%	3.50%		
Subsidies - Waka Kōtahi (NZTA) We receive annual subsidies of just over \$6 million from Waka Kōtahi (NZTA). The funding assistance						The rate of subsidy recould be hi or lower the expected.	gher		th pr if i	an we've a ogramme funding fro eed ratepa	assumed, we s at a lower om Waka Kō	e could com cost to rate tahi (NZTA) a greater sl	A) are higher plete our work payers. Alternatively, reduced, we would nare, or to review our		

We have assumed that the rate of subsidy of 51% will remain constant over the life of the LTP. We have assumed that operating and capital expenditure programs that have in the past received Waka Kōtahi (NZTA) subsidies and/or satisfy the criteria that Waka Kōtahi (NZTA) require in order to provide subsidies will continue to receive subsidy funding over the life of the LTP.

Waka Kōtahi (NZTA) could make changes to the subsidy rate, the funding cap or the criteria for inclusion in the subsidised works programme.

If our work programmes are not approved by Waka Kotahi (NZTA) then we will need to review our budgets. Work that would otherwise receive subsidy may be deferred, or the approved three year programme may be adjusted as part of future Annual Plans. A reduction in the level of subsidy by 1% per annum would increase general rates by 0.17% on average over the 10 years of the plan and/or would affect the level of service we provide.

			ve've used				
	What we've assumed	Growth and demand	Lifecycle of assets dum	Levels of service	Risk	Level of uncertainty	Reasons for uncertainty and financial impacts
	Solid Waste services						
19.	Over the course of the LTP we will continue to receive levy contributions from central government (under the relevant provisions of the Waste Minimisation Act 2008). We will apply these funds to projects and provision of recycling services that meet the criteria set out in that Act. In August 2020 Government announced planned increases the to the waste minimisation levy from \$10 to \$20 per tonne in 1 July 2021, reaching \$60 per tonne by 1 July 2024. We expect the way levy funds are allocated to Territorial Authorities (TA's) will change. We have assumed Council will receive a similar level of funding as present; however, this may be made-up from a fixed allocation based on the size of the District and/or opportunities to apply for grants for projects. We have assumed a range of central government direction and national standardisation of kerbside collections will shape both the way our waste services are delivered and the cost of delivering them. This includes a review of the Waste Minimisation Act (the Act), the Litter Act 1979 and the New Zealand Waste Strategy. Council's existing kerbside refuse and transfer stations contract ends in August 2023. We have assumed we will implement a new refuse, recycling and transfer service upon the expiry of the current contract. We are also planning changes to the way this service is funded. We have made an assumption of the increased cost of delivering the new services based on expert advice.			✓	We may not receive the predicted levels of waste levy income. Kerbside collection, transfer station and waste disposal costs may be greater than anticipated.	Low	We use the levy income to fund waste minimisation schemes, educational programmes and waste minimisation projects. If we do not receive the amount of income predicted, expenditure in these areas may need to be reduced or subsidised by general rates. We will know the costs of the new kerbside collection, disposal and transfer station service once the contract procurement process has been completed. An increase of \$1 million in costs would increase the targeted rate for solid waste by \$97.61.
20	Sales or transfer of assets It is assumed throughout this plan that we will retain ownership of our significant assets and continue with the current Council Controlled Organisations.	V			That the financial or nonfinancial objectives of holding strategic assets or Council Controlled Organisations are not achieved. The risk is low.	High	Three Waters Reform - With the proposed Three Waters Reform, there is high uncertainty around future ownership of the three waters assets. At the time of writing the details of the reform are not yet known, and as such we have assumed that Council will retain ownership. Council Controlled Organisations - Should specified returns not be attainable, we would review our investment. Such a review may have a financial impact. Any decision to sell or partially sell would be significant and a full proposal with options to be considered would be provided to the community for feedback as part of a consultation process.

	How we	've used t				
	ass	umption				
What we've assumed	Growth and demand Lifecycle of assets Levels of service		Levels of service	Risk	Level of uncertainty	Reasons for uncertainty and financial impacts
Levels of service We have assumed that demand for our services and community expectations regarding the level of service we provide will not change significantly from what we have budgeted for. In developing this LTP we have also assumed that the current levels of service we provide will continue unless specifically stated otherwise (refer Assumption 19 - Solid waste services). For some of our assets recent changes to legislation and the regulatory framework require us to change our levels of service. This is particularly true for the water supply services, where increasing environmental and health standards require improved water quality and improved security of water sources. Similar changes can be seen for wastewater and stormwater where increasing environmental standards require improved treatment of wastewater and stormwater before it can be disposed into the environment. The Roading activity is also seeing the continued changes to the levels of service, with the implementation of One Network Road Framework. This is likely to see the levels of service for some roads improve and others decrease.				External factors or budgetary constraints may adversely affect our ability to deliver intended levels of service. There are significant changes in customer expectations regarding demand for services or levels of service.	Low	A number of factors may impact our ability to deliver our intended levels of service, such as financial constraints or a natural disaster. Expectations of the community may also change over time. Changes to levels of service may affect the scale and type of infrastructure and services we provide. Any significant changes to levels of service would require a proposal with options to be considered for feedback as part of a consultation process.
Resource consents and environmental standards We hold several resource consents for the activities that we undertake - mainly for taking water for our town water supplies, and discharging stormwater and treated wastewater from our networks. These consents are obtained from the Waikato Regional Council and are 22. influenced by national policy - such as National Environmental Standards and National Policy Statements under the Resource Management Act 1991 framework. We have assumed that the conditions of resource consents for our activities will not be altered significantly although it is acknowledged that there will be some alteration during the life of the LTP.	✓		√	Conditions of resource consent could be altered significantly and without allowing sufficient time for planning. Changing Environmental standards could increase costs and put pressure on the affordability of the services we provide. Community expectations of the Environmental performance of Council services could increase.	Medium	Reasons for uncertainty: The implementation of the Freshwater NPS as well as the future establishment of the Waihou-Piako and Thames-Coromandel Catchment Authority may potentially see future consent conditions becoming more onerous at the time of re-consenting. Financial Impact: Resource consents are normally granted for long periods and are anticipated well in advance. However, the final costs of obtaining consents are difficult to predict (given the availability of appeals under the Resource Management Act 1991) and the impacts of changes to environmental standards on infrastructure investment and operation may be significant in the longer term. It is difficult to accurately predict the potential financial effects until the consenting/re-consenting process has begun. We have included renewing resource consents in our budgets for some alteration during the life of the LTP.

