

Attachment A – Summary of Decisions Requested (by Submitter)

Note: The submitter position identifies whether each submission point supports (S), supports in part or with amendments (SA), opposes (O) or their position is unknown or not stated (U).

Table 1 List of Submitters

| Submission No | Submitter Name | Overall position |
|---------------|--|------------------|
| 1 | Tu Clarke | SA |
| 2 | Rachel and Norm Salisbury | O |
| 3 | Te Rauna Cawker | SA |
| 4 | Ngāti Hauā Iwi Trust | SA |
| 5 | Te Puawaitanga o Ngāti Hinerangi | SA |
| 6 | Te Tumu Paeroa – Office of the Māori Trustee | SA |
| 7 | Samuel and Leah O'Connor | U |
| 8 | Charlie and June Paki Whanau Trust | SA/O |
| 9 | Shannon Clarke | SA |
| 10 | Tony Ashworth | SA |
| 11 | Robyn Roa | SA |
| 12 | Georgina Tini Dicken | S |
| 13 | Lea Thompson | SA |
| 14 | Hariata Jaime Anderson | S |
| 15 | Tokaanu Thompson | S |
| 16 | Frances Herawana Tupaea | S |
| 17 | Grant and Annette Cranfield | O |
| 18 | Te Ao Marama Maaka | S |
| 19 | McRae | O |
| 20 | Tahauariki Tauwhiti Thompson | SA |

| Submission No | Submitter Name | Overall position |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 21 | Desmond Ewing | S |
| 22 | Leigh Webber | S |
| 23 | Pamela A Webber | S |
| 24 | Richard and Ariana Pirrit | O |
| 25 | John and Irene Harris | SA |
| 26 | Waikato Regional Council | SA/O |
| 27 | Bette Blance | S |
| 28 | Thomas Bougher | SA |
| 29 | Margaret Osbourne | O |
| 30 | Te Mangeo Tamehana Tarapipipi | SA |
| 31 | Virginia McMillan | SA |
| 32 | Kali Matauwhati | SA |
| 33 | Brandon Dromgool and Lyndsay Oldham | O |
| 34 | Matamata Aeroclub | SA |
| 35 | Tuatahi Nightingale-Pene | S |
| 36 | Irene Tai-Rakena | S |
| 37 | Te Hanga South Trust | S |
| 38 | Raymond Kett | U |
| 39 | Jeff Hirawani | S |
| 40 | This has been included in submission 17 | O |
| 41 | Brad Hutton | O |
| 42 | Sacha Capeling and Dayne Hazelden | O |
| 43 | David King | S |
| 44 | Rev Henare Waaka | SA |
| 45 | Mananui Te Uira | S |
| 46 | This submission has been included in submission 11 | S |
| 47 | Carolyn Nimmo | S |

| Submission No | Submitter Name | Overall position |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 48 | Muna Wharawhara | S |
| 49 | Ian Robert Young, Dana Sheree Lewis and Ian Young Family Trust | SA |
| 50 | Leo George Whaiapu | S |
| 51 | Michael Carey | S |
| 52 | Karen Chandler | SA |
| 53 | Mapuna Turner | U |
| 54 | Kāinga Ora | SA |
| 55 LATE SUBMISSION | Matamata Soaring Centre | SA |

Table 2 Summary of Submissions (by Submitter)

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Tu Clarke | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.1 Include Marae and whenua connected with the marae to be included as MPZ-PREC2 (instead of MPZ-PREC1). | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| 2 | Rachel and Norm Salisbury | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1 Proposed rules should be applied to all parties in the Rural Zone | Decline | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.2 Potential impacts on property values | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.3 Concerns around safety and traffic on Douglas Road | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.4 Limited Council services and increased strain on the services that do exist | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.5 Lower parts of Douglas Road are located within a flood zone and not suitable for development | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.6 Concerns around existing housing standards and crime due to increased population | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.7 Dog control and stock safety | | Reject |
| FS1 | Charlotte Cross | FS on S2 Oppose | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decision sought from Council is "allowed in whole" Raises concerns about a range of issues including relating to amenity, traffic and parking, rubbish, maintenance, fencing, rural aspect and lifestyle | Accept | Reject |
| 3 | Te Rauna Cawker | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1 Supports Papakāinga Tahī, Papakāinga Rua and District Wide provisions | Accept | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.2 Supports Urupā on ancestral land | | Accept in part |
| 4 | Ngāti Hauā Iwi Trust | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.1 Request that Precinct 1 and 2 are adopted as proposed | Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.2 Support the proposed housing density and enabling of community facilities, education facilities, urupā, relocatable buildings and accessory buildings | | Accept in part |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.3 Larger land blocks are not fairly represented with a limitation of 10 homes. Request that the wording is changed to make it clear that developments above ten homes may be possible, but will require resource consent | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.4 Extend the MPZ provisions to the District Wide provisions where there are ancestral connections and legal mechanisms for perpetuity of Māori ownership (Key Issue 1) | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.5 Supportive of RM reforms to allow headroom for iwi to access water in a way that supports commercial water uses for Māori adjacent to their papakāinga. | | Reject |
| 5 | Te Puawaitanga o Ngāti Hinerangi | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.1 Density limits for MPZ PREC 1 to allow for one kāinga per 2500m² 5.2 Site coverage to be 35% where sites are under 1ha and 10% where sites are over 1ha 5.3 Extend MPZ to cover more Māori Freehold Land 5.4 10m side yards 5.5 Te Ohaki Marae Planning Map to include urupā block 5.6 Policy Papakāinga – P2 b) to include hapu and/or iwi ownership in perpetuity. 5.7 Remove requirement 1.4.30 Providing information on management structures for the papakāinga. 5.8 Amend Provision 6.1.1 1 to enable Kāinga per 2,500m². 5.9 Amend the activity status for Discretionary activity for communal living arrangement to be a restricted discretionary activity. | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | | | Reject |
| | | | | | Reject |
| | | | | | Reject |
| | | | | | Accept in part |
| | | | | | Accept in part |
| | | | | | Reject |
| | | | | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|--|------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.10 Amend the yard provisions for side yards as they relate to papakāinga and a standard over and above what is anticipated in the rural zone. | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.11 Amend 4.4.1 2) building coverage rules to be site coverage where sites are under 1ha, 10% where sites are over 1ha. | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.12 Amend 5.9.1 2 wording to include or where available wireless provisions can be utilized. | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.13 Amend Subdivision rules for Papakāinga from Discretionary to Restricted Discretionary | | Reject |
| FS2 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 5 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Te Puawaitanga o Ngati Hinerangi requested amendments to the MPZs including side yard provisions | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| 6 | Te Tumu Paeroa – Office of the Māori Trustee | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6.1 Supports District Wide Provision 6.1.1 | Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6.2 Supportive of Māori Purpose Zone's objectives (O1 – O3), policies (P1 - P8) and rules (PREC1(1) –(5); PREC2(1) – (4)) | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6.3 Supportive of papakāinga objectives (O1 – O3) and policies (P1 – P8) | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6.4 Amendment of 'Papakāinga' definition to provide for 'māori landowners'. See submission for proposed Papakāinga definition | | Accept in part |
| 7 | Samuel and Leah O'Connor | U | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7.1 Concerns around potential negative consequences as a result of re-zoning, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sharp increase in development in a rural area may negatively impact neighbouring properties particularly if the development includes additional facilities (e.g. Schools, short-term accommodation) | U | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Property value changes and appeal to potential buyers | | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7.2 Concerns over a possibility of funding to aid in rapid development | | Reject |
| 8 | Charlie and June Paki Whanau Trust | O SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8.1 Concerns regarding the proposal process as the beneficiary were not contacted or advised of the proposal, therefore not given an opportunity to ask questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Concerns that the decision-makers do not whakapapa to the land | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| 9 | Shannon Clarke | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9.1 Supportive of the plan change as it provides a chance to return to ancestral land for current and future generations | Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9.2 Request that General Land owned by Māori be treated the same as Papakāinga Tahī | | Reject |
| 10 | Tony Ashworth | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10.1 To keep houses and vegetation away from the runway edge to avoid the airport boundary | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10.2 Limiting who is able to make a noise complaint caused by recreational aerodrome traffic | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10.3 Allow for building hangars and a boundary fence along the runway | | Reject |
| 11 | Robyn Roa | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11.1 Supportive of the plan change as it provides a chance to return to ancestral land for current and future generations | Accept | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11.2 Request that General Land owned by Māori be treated the same as Papakāinga Tahī (Key Issue 1) | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11.3 Supports both precincts as it will enable kaumātua and kuia the opportunity to live near our Marae where they are often needed to support marae | | Accept in part |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | kaupapa, or give younger families an opportunity to put a home on the whenua | | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11.4 Expresses the following viewpoint: 'we do not own the whenua, we belong to the whenua' | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11.5 Supports the opportunities to return home | | Accept in part |
| 12 | Georgina Tini Dicken | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12.1 Supportive of the plan change as it provides opportunity to live on whanau Māori land | Accept | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12.2 Mokopuna to be supported by her whanau | | Accept in part |
| 13 | Lea Thompson | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13.1 Requested the Māori Purpose Zone provisions be applied to district wide provisions | Accept | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13.2 Supports papakāinga lifestyle – opportunities to revitalise te reo and Maaoritanga | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13.3 Supportive of allowing Urupā onsite as it strengthens ancestral and generational connections | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13.4 Expresses disappointment at needing to gain permission to establish kāinga on Māori Land <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies that homes in urban areas are closer that the provisions allow for rural zones | | Reject |
| 14 | Hariata Jaime Anderson | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 14.1 Supports the proposed provisions of the Māori Purpose Zone | Accept | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 14.2 Identifies this as an opportunity to establish, sustain and preserve historical heritage, culture and cultural assets while realising ancestral aspirations | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 14.3 Expresses aspirations to establish whare and business opportunities to serve Whanau | | Accept in part |
| 15 | Tokaanu Thompson | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 15.1 Supports the plan change | Accept | Accept in part |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 16 | Frances Herawana Tupaea | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 16.1 Supports Papakāinga as a safe environment to raise ngā mokopuna | Accept | Accept in part |
| 17 | Grant and Annette Cranfield | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.1 Expresses concerns around health and safety regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased road users Constant turning and slowing of vehicles Traffic and congestion Complex intersections which could be hazardous for drivers and pedestrians Railway is hazardous – long trucks with trailers need to be able to turn safely without obstacles preventing them from crossing the railway line Loss of parking spaces at the marae resulting in tendency to park along the road Refuse bins bags left at the gate in high volumes will be an obstruction Bright lights from the marae could be distracting for motorists | Decline | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.2 Existing issues around: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keeping surrounding area tidy and rubbish-free Maintenance concerns regarding fencing, lawns and gates | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.3 Expresses concerns regarding the loss of countryside or rural aspect, particularly regarding high fencing | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.4 Concerns expressed around who is able to access the sewage lines | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.5 Expressed concerns regarding significant noise, sleep disturbance, stress and annoyance | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.6 Expresses traffic and safety concerns around the right of way for trains, hazardous material trucks, elderly driving along the road in mobility scooters, turning vehicles holding up on-coming traffic | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.7 Concerns around the potential for increased developments to increase roadside parking | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.8 Expresses concerns around the loss of rural character | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17.9 Concerns around existing maintenance issues related to fence posts and possible incorrect rubbish disposal | | Reject |
| 18 | Te Ao Marama Maaka | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 18.1 Supports the plan change | Accept | Accept in part |
| 19 | McRae | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 19.1 Concerns that the proposed housing density and buildings might diminish the rural aspect | Decline | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 19.2 Concerns around diminishing the quality of rural lifestyle | | Reject |
| 20 | Tahauariki Tauwhiti Thompson | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20.1 Would like to see General Land Owned by Māori being treated the same as Papakāinga Tahī | Accept | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20.2 Identifies this plan change as an opportunity to return to ancestral land to build a home for children, grandchildren and future generations in a safe environment that values te reo and traditional/ancestral values | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20.3 Papakāinga will allow whanau to live collectively as their ancestors did | | Accept in part |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20.4 Supports the opportunity for greater involvement in social, educational and economic aspects of hapū, iwi and marae | | Accept in part |
| 21 | Desmond Ewing | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 21.1 Supports Papakāinga Tahī | Accept | Accept in part |
| 22 | Leigh Webber | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 22.1 Supports the plan change | Accept and Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| 23 | Pamela A Webber | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 23.1 Supports the plan change | Accept and Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| 24 | Richard and Ariana Pirrit | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24.1 Expresses concerns regarding Papakāinga Tahī due to an increase in housing density in comparison to the rural zone | Decline | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24.2 Expresses concerns regarding Papakāinga Rua – related to Rukumoana Road, in the following ways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dairy farming business is directly adjoining Rukumoana Marae and Rukumoana Avenue Increased housing density and population increase will cause noise pollution, interfere with the rural characters and increased traffic Existing issues include crime, unemployment, drug abuse, gang affiliation, incorrect disposal of rubbish causing issues with rodents and farming stock being attached by stray dogs from Rukumoana Ave Existing fears around safety with a need for increased security on properties Currently considered a 'high risk' area by insurance | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Existing cabins on Rukumoana marae do not have toilets, running water and are currently disposing of rubbish incorrectly | | |
| 25 | John and Irene Harris | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25.1 Would like to include the use of management plans or Body Corporate in light of not requiring a resource consent to ensure effectiveness, efficiency and safety | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25.2 Requests transparency around annual capital and operational and maintenance costs, especially around water services, roading and refuse | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25.3 Requests there be direct references to climate change, specifically flooding/ inundation due to sea level rise, taken into account for long term decision-making before applying for resource consents | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25.4 Requests that consideration be given to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Using 'Non-Complying' status to avoid inappropriate development ○ The appropriateness of development of Class 1 Soils, specifically the potential negative effects on social, economic and cultural wellbeing ○ The potential to subsidise land or development that has already been subsidised in light of Three Waters reform ○ Whether variations to existing Iwi housing and Marae sites on Rural, Rural-Residential and Residential zones, as well as new Papakāinga development in residential or rural-residential zones should be noncomplying, instead of discretionary | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 26 | Waikato Regional Council | SA O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26.1 Request amendments to MPZ-O1 and Papakāinga-O1 to include "other natural and physical resources" | Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26.2 Suggest the inclusion of additional objectives and policies relating to the use of water, energy and climate resilience | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26.3 WRC identifies two MPZ Precinct areas within WRC drainage schemes and therefore appropriate measures and controls are needed to manage flood risk | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26.4 Specific concerns regarding the location of Waiti Marae (within the MPZ Precinct 1) and its vulnerability to flooding | | Accept |
| 27 | Bette Blance | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 27.1 Supports the proposed plan change, particularly the purpose, and potential to improve the lives of local iwi, kaumātua and kuia through support from younger iwi members | Accept | Accept in part |
| 28 | Thomas Bougher | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 28.1 Supports the plan change, submission amendments points include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased distance between dwellings to reduce sewage and storm water concentration in one area and give families space/ privacy New built homes for purposes of reduced maintenance, insulation standards and construction standards that meet current wind & earthquake bracing requirements Housing tenure that offers occupants security and signed agreements that establish rights and responsibilities | Accept with amendments | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Roading and Access should be well-built and well maintained for safety reasons such as legal services (ambulance, fire etc) ○ Houses need to be numbered properly. ○ Road names established if there are more than 5 houses on a right of way ○ Measures put in place to ensure Council Indemnity ○ Consideration of rates due to increased services | | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 28.2 Minimum 1 vehicle garage per dwelling for storage/ workshop • Require all homes to be new builds | | Reject |
| 29 | Margaret Osbourne | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 29.1 Requests the proposed zoning provisions should be made available to all | Decline | Reject |
| 30 | Te Mangeo Tamehana Tarapipipi | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30.1 Suggests an amendment to density provisions as the current density requirements will exclude people with smaller blocks | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| 31 | Virginia McMillan | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 31.1 Suggests consulting with iwi on their aspirations to reassess the maximum number of homes • Requests an increase in the total number of homes | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| 32 | Kali Matauwhati | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 32.1 Expresses strong whakapapa to Kai-a-te-mata and Rukumoana marae • 32.2 There is willingness from whanau living in other regions of the Motu to return to ancestral land, to look after other whanau, the land, marae and rivers | Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| | | | | | Accept in part |
| 33 | Brandon Dromgool and | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 33.1 Expressed concerns around increased traffic using and also turning off State Highway 26 into the proposed development | Decline | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|--|
| | Lyndsay Oldham | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 33.2 Expresses an expectation for consultation with Waka Kotahi on this proposal and traffic safety investigations completed which would determine what the impact of this development would be | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 33.3 Requests that the proposed developments have a water tank and septic tank, both undergoing regular servicing | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 33.4 Expresses concerns around the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of rural character Possible effects on surrounding property values Potential impacts on amenity value Intensification of the site and developmental outcomes | | Reject |
| 34 | Matamata Aeroclub | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 34.1 Expresses concerns around potential future complaints about aircraft noise by future residents | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 34.2 Propose that MPDC establish a noise contour plan for the area around the Matamata Aerodrome | | Reject |
| 35 | Tuatahi Nightingale-Pene | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 35.1 Expresses complete Support for Precinct 1 - Papakāinga Tahī, Precinct 2 - Papakāinga Rua and the District Wide Provisions | Accept | Accept in part |
| 36 | Irene Tai-Rakena | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 36.1 Supports the proposed provisions | Accept | Accept in part |
| 37 | Te Hanga South Trust | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 37.1 Trustees request that two land blocks that were mentioned in the submission be set aside in the District Plan under the District Wide provisions The Trust can demonstrate that there is an ancestral connection as well as a legal mechanism in place to ensure the land is maintained in whanau ownership in perpetuity | Accept | Accept in part insofar as the district wide provisions already apply |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|---|----------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| 38 | Raymond Kett | U | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 38.1 Objects to sending letters that are addresses to people who have passed away 38.2 Proposes a shareholder meeting 38.3 Expresses concerns regarding the name of the Waiti marae map | U | Reject (insofar as no specific changes to PC54 were requested) |
| 39 | Jeff Hirawani | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 39.1 Supports the plan change | Accept | Accept in part |
| 40 | This submission has been included in submission 17 | | | | |
| 41 | Brad Hutton | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 41.1 Opposes the proposed plan changes | Decline | Reject |
| 42 | Sacha Capeling and Dayne Hazelden | O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 42.1 The loss of rural character, and potential affects on mental health and child's welfare Raises concerns with development in the paddock surrounding the submitters' home, including impact on Kaimai views and rural setting. Increase dust and pollution 42.2 Existing high traffic volumes on State Highway - increasing road users around a blind corner would increase the danger at entrance ways Concerns raised about vehicle access onto Tauranga Road 42.3 The proposed zoning provisions should be made available to all groups on freehold land | Decline | Reject Reject |
| 43 | David King | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 43.1 Supports the plan change | Accept | Accept in part |
| 44 | Rev Henare Waaka | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 44.1 Supports the plan change but would like General Land owned by Māori to be treated exactly the same as Papakāinga Tahī to enable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunity for whanau to return home to ancestral land | Accept with amendments | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|---|----------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Live collectively and raise mokopuna in a secure and safe environment that values te reo and tikanga Māori ○ Be involved in the social, spiritual, educational and economic heritage of my hapū and iwi ○ Participate in key roles and responsibilities of the marae and support to make Papakāinga a reality | | |
| 45 | Mananui Te Uira | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 45.1 Supports the plan change as it allows whanau to build on their whenua | Accept | Accept in part |
| 46 | This submission has been included in submission 11 | | | | |
| 47 | Carolyn Nimmo | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 47.1 Supports Papakāinga Tahī as it allows for gardening activities on highly productive soils | Accept | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 47.2 Supports Papakāinga Rua as it enables affordable housing and housing suitable for kaumātua and people with disabilities | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 47.3 Supports papakāinga development on other Māori-owned land as it increased housing and housing diversity while keeping highly productive soils available for agriculture and building homes in a sustainable and affordable way | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 47.4 Requests further plan changes and enabling Planning rules to allow close-proximity/ communal housing in urban and rural-residential zones, such as new models of co-housing that supports elderly, disabled, and different cultures (Key Issue 1) | | Reject |
| 48 | Muna Wharawhara | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 48.1 Support in principle Papakāinga Tahī and Rua as an enabling policy that empowers whanau the opportunity to develop and deliver sustainable housing | Accept | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|--|----------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitter points out that the information omits councils contribution to infrastructure | | |
| 49 | Ian Robert Young, Dana Sheree Lewis and Ian Young Family Trust | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 49.1 The Te Ohaki Marae zoning map includes a Right of Way that belongs to the submitter Suitable arrangements are to be considered by Council when allowing the development to go ahead regarding the maintenance and upkeep of the Right of Way Safety issues arising from increased driveway use and interference of farming operations | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| 50 | Leo George Whaiapu | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50.1 Supports the plan change Request for specific blocks (Okauia 2e.3b-2b.1b, Wāhiti Kuranui 6a.1b.3b.2b) to get through | Accept | Reject |
| 51 | Michael Carey | S | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 51.1 Supports the plan change | Accept | Accept in part |
| 52 | Karen Chandler | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 52.1 Supports the plan change but would like allowances created for other land owners to add dwellings to their land for family. E.g. dependent living space Would like other people to be able to add dwellings up to 80sqm under the condition these dwellings are on the Title and that the property will not be subdivided | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| 53 | Mapuna Turner | U | <p>53.1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expresses the definition of Papakāinga Expresses that planning instruments should reflect that Māori landowners have the right to determine how and what their lands shall be use for Identifies that consent has not been given to the planning authority to usurp Māori and landowner rights | U | Reject (insofar as no specific changes to PC54 were requested) |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|------------|----------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stipulates that communal living should be decided by the traditional application of accommodating whanau numbers Identifies that colonisation has influences Māori practices – traditional lores of tapu are circular Identifies land use restrictions regarding water resources | | |
| 54 | Kāinga Ora | SA, O | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.1 Amend MPZ-O1 to refer to papakāinga, marae and associated commercial activities | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.2 Retain objective MPZ-O2 | | Accept |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.3 Amend MPZ-O3 to refer to the planned environment and delete reference to reverse sensitivity effects | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.4 Amend MPZ-P2 to support provision of papakāinga on all land, not just land in Māori title or where land is held in whānau ownership in perpetuity. | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.5 Amend MPZ-P3 to refer to the planned environment rather than the character of the particular zone | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.6 Amend MPZ-P4 to delete reference to the functioning of legitimate land uses on adjacent sites | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.7 Delete MPZ-P7 as the submission of a Papakāinga Development Plan is not necessary. | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.8 Amend MPZ-P8 to delete reference to demonstrating the papakāinga will remain in whānau ownership in perpetuity | | Accept in part (insofar as the policy is recommended to be amended to refer to iwi, hapū or whānau ownership in perpetuity – see Key Theme 4). |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.9 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(b) relocatable buildings | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.10 Delete requirement for Papakāinga Development Plan | | Reject |
| FS3 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 54 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including density | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.11 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(g) relating to home business to allow commercial activity more generally | | Reject |
| FS3 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 54 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Home / commercial business standards | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.12 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(h) and manage community facilities, education facilities, and healthcare facilities with development and performance standards | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.13 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(2)(a) matters of discretion | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.14 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(3)(a)-(e) Discretionary activities | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.15 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(b) height in relation to boundary | | Reject |
| FS3 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 54 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Height to boundary | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.16 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(c) to reduce front, side and rear yards to 5m | | Reject |
| FS3 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 54 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yards | Accept with amendments | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.17 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(e) to increase maximum building coverage to 40% | | Reject |
| FS3 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 54 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building coverage | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.18 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(f) Solid waste | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.19 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(a) to remove density requirement | | Reject |
| FS3 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 54 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including density | Accept with amendments | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.20 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(b) one kāinga per 500m² site area in its entirety (and rely on MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(a) as requested to be amended by another submission point) | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.21 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(c) relocatable buildings | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.22 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(e) earthworks | | Accept |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.23 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(2)(a) matters of discretion | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.24 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(3) Discretionary activities | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.25 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(5)(b) height in relation to boundary | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.26 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(5)(e) to increase maximum building coverage to 40% | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.27 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(5)(f) Solid waste | | Accept in part |
| FS3 | Clarke McKinney | Support Submission 54 in part | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solid waste and screening | Accept with amendments | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.28 Delete MPZ-PR2 | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------|----------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delete MPZ-PR3 | | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.29 Amend Papakāinga-O1 to refer to papakāinga, marae and associated commercial activities | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.30 Retain Papakāinga-O2 | | Accept |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.31 Amend Papakāinga-O3 to refer to the planned environment and delete reference to reverse sensitivity effects | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.32 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(f) to delete the density of one kāinga per 5000m² | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.33 Delete Policy Papakāinga-P2 | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.34 Amend Papakāinga-P3 to refer to the planned environment rather than the character of the particular zone | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.35 Amend Papakāinga-P4 to delete reference to the functioning of legitimate land uses on adjacent sites | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.36 Delete Papakāinga-P7 as the submission of a Papakāinga Development Plan is not necessary | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.37 Amend Papakāinga-P8 to delete reference to demonstrating the papakāinga will remain in whānau ownership in perpetuity | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.38 Delete 1.1.2 Plans in its entirety as a Papakāinga Development Plan is not necessary | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.39 Remove requirement 1.4.30(ii) providing information on management structures for papakāinga. | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.39 Amend 1.4.30 Papakāinga (assessment matters) to delete (i), (ii), (v), and (viii) and reword (vi) and (vii) | | Accept in part |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------|----------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.40 Amend Section 6 to provide for Papakāinga on general title land as well as Māori Freehold Land and Treaty Settlement Land. | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.41 Amend 6.1.1 to make kāinga a Restricted Discretionary in the Business Zone and a permitted activity in the Residential Zone Amend Activity Table line 6.1.1 to remove density restriction of five kāinga per site and delete 6.1.2 | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.42 Amend Activity Table line 6.1.1 to remove density restriction of five kāinga per site and delete 6.1.2 | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.43 Amend 6.1.3 to make marae a permitted activity in the residential zones and Restricted Discretionary in the Business Zone. | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.44 Amend 6.1.4 to delete home businesses and replace with commercial activity less than or equal to 60m², make Restricted Discretionary in Business and Industrial zones, and provide for Restricted Discretionary activity for commercial activities greater than 60m² in the rural, rural residential and residential zones. | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.45 Amend 6.1.5 to add communal buildings and make permitted in Residential, Rural and Rural Residential Zones and Restricted Discretionary in the Business Zone | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.46 Add a new activity for educational facilities and healthcare facilities, permitted across all zones | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.47 Delete Section 6.2 | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|---------------|-----------|----------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.48 Reduce the side and rear yard standard from 20m to 5m and amend the Note applying to papakāinga spanning multiple records of title | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.49 Delete 4.4.1.1 requiring submission of a Papakāinga Development Plan | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.50 Delete 4.4.1.2 maximum building coverage | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.51 Amend 4.4.1.4 to delete 10m setback for service area | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.52 Amend 4.4.1.5 for home businesses so that only heavy vehicle controls and compliance with Permitted activity performance standards and to provide for commercial activities at a kāinga-wide level | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.53 Delete Performance Standards 4.4.2 | | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.54 Amend the matters of discretion in 4.4.3 | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.55 Amend the activity status for subdivision in the Rural, Rural Residential, Business, and Māori Purpose zones to Restricted Discretionary | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.56 Delete 6.3.13(i) in its entirety | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.57 Amend Assessment Criteria 6.3.13(ii) 54.57 Amend Assessment Criteria 6.3.13(ii) | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.58 Amend 9.1.2 Access (v)(iii) to increase threshold for number of kāinga to trigger compliance with the Development Manual | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.59 Amend to remove reference to Papakāinga Development Plan | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.60 Replace the definition of Papakāinga with a definition that allows for papakāinga on general title | | Reject |

| Submission No | Submitter | Submitter's Position | Submission Summary | Decision Sought | Officer's Recommendation |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | land and where there is no requirement to prove whakapapa to that land. | | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 54.61 Amend definition so it is not restricted to the use of a building for one household only | | Reject |
| 55 LATE SUBMISSION | Matamata Soaring Centre | SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 55.1 Support the intentions to enable quality Papakāinga development and opportunities for landowners | Support with amendments | Accept in part |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 55.2 Plan change should include explicit reference to the existing Matamata airport protection zones | | Reject |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 55.3 Council should include noise mitigation measures to avoid reverse sensitivity issues from the Matamata/Waharoa aerodrome (for example a setback from the airfield) | | Reject |