





Form 5

Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation under Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Matamata-Piako District Council

Name of Submitter: Matamata-Piako District Council Staff

Submission on: Proposed Private Plan Change 52 - Development Concept

Plan for Milk Processing Factory, SH26, Tatuanui

Address for service: PO Box 266.

Te Aroha 3342

Attention: Mark Hamilton

mhamilton@mpdc.govt.nz



This is a submission on Proposed Private Plan Change 52

We could not gain an advantage in trade completion through this submission

The specific parts of Proposed Private Plan Change 52 that Council's submission relates to are:

- Permitted Activities 1.1.1 (d); 1.1.2(a); 1.1.3 (a); 1.1.3 (c) and 1.1.3 (d).
- Performance Standards 2.1 (a); 2.1 (b); 2.1 (c); 2.1 (f); 2.1 (m); 2.1(n); 2.1 (o); 2.1 (p) and 2.1 (w).
- Matters of Discretion General, Bulk and Location, Colour and Odour
- Miscellaneous matters

#### Background:

Matamata-Piako District Council is the territorial authority responsible for the production, administration and enforcement of the objectives, policies and rules contained within the Matamata-Piako District Plan.

The Plan provides the means for the Council and residents of Matamata-Piako to achieve the integrated management of the effects of the use, development and protection of the natural and physical resources with the District. The Council is therefore a key stakeholder in any District Plan Change undertaken in this district.

Council staff commend Tatua Co-operative Dairy Company Limited ("Tatua") for its proactive request to lodge a private plan change request to establish a Development Concept Plan (DCP) for its milk processing facility in Tatuanui. However, Council staff suggest the changes detailed below.



# Council's submission on Proposed Private Plan Change 52 - Development Concept Plan for Milk Processing Factory, SH26, Tatuanui

Matamata-Piako District Council staff's submission on Private Plan Change 52 is set out in the attached tables. Insertions we wish to make are marked in **bold** and **underlined**, while recommended deletions are shown as **struck out** text.

#### Permitted Activities

Activity	Proposed Permitted	Commont	Delief Courb	Ohaman
Activity	Activities	Comment	Relief Sought	<u>Changes</u>
Permitted	Any activity identified	To ensure that	Accept with	sought
Activities	as a permitted activity	the DCP's	Amendments	Any activity identified as a
1.1.1(d)	in the relevant	provisions relate	Amendments	permitted
(3)	underlying Zone as	to activities		activity,
1	identified in the	undertaken within	1	ancillary to the
	District Plan not	its boundaries.	l .	use of the site
	otherwise provided for	no boardancs.		as provided for
	in this Development			in 1.1.2
n Annet John Long Landdon	Concept Plan.			Development
	ээмээрги а			Area 1 and
JU 18				1.1.3
				Development
	1			Area 2, in the
	1			relevant
				underlying Zone
				as identified in
				the District Plan
	Í.			not otherwise
				provided for in
				this
	1			Development
				Concept Plan.
Permitted	xi) Ancillary Activities	It is unclear why	Reject, unless	xi) Ancillary
Activities 1.1.2	including offices,	daycare facilities	a further	Activities
(a)	canteens, medical	and/or recreation	transportation	including offices,
	rooms, ablution	facilities are	assessment is	canteens,
	facilities, daycare	included in the	completed.	medical rooms
	facilities and/or	permitted		and ablution
	recreation facilities	activities		facilities <u>.</u>
	associated with any	description. They		<del>daycare</del>
	permitted activity.	were not		facilities and/or
		specifically		recreation
		described in the		<del>facilities</del>
		application or the		associated
		transportation		with any
		assessment.		permitted
		Their inclusion		activity.
		should be either		
		justified in an		
		amended		
		transportation		
		assessment		

Permitted Activities 1.1.3 (a)	ii) Ancillary activities including offices, canteens, medical rooms, ablution facilities, daycare facilities and recreation facilities associated with any permitted activity.	which evaluates their traffic generation effects, or they should be removed from the Permitted Activity in question.  As above, it is unclear why daycare facilities and recreation facilities are included in the permitted activities description. They were not specifically described in the application or the transportation assessment. Their inclusion should be either justified in an amended transportation assessment which evaluates their traffic generation effects, or they should be	Reject, unless a further transportation assessment is completed.	xi) Ancillary Activities including offices, canteens, medical rooms and ablution facilities. daycare facilities and/or recreation facilities associated with any permitted activity.
		effects, or they		
Permitted Activities 1.1.3 (c)	Activities and structures relating to the loading and unloading of goods for rail transport including rail yards and rail sidings.	To ensure that the DCP's provisions relate to activities undertaken within its boundaries.	Accept, with amendment	Activities and structures relating to the loading and unloading of goods, subsidiary to the activities undertaken onsite, for rail transport, including rail yards and rail sidings.
Permitted Activities 1.1.3 (d)	ii) Pedestrian/good underpass of SH26. Such an underpass	Amend error to ensure consistency with	Accept, with amendment	(i) Pedestrian/good underpass of

will only be required	Permitted		SH26. Such an
when the Tatua	Activities 1.1.2 (b)		underpass will
administrative	and 2.1		only be required
headquarters is	Performance		when the Tatua
relocated onto	standards for	l l	administrative
Development Area 2	Permitted		headquarters is
or more than 10	Activities (q)		relocated onto
carparks for factory	Pedestrian/		Development
staff are provided on	goods underpass.		Area 2 or more
the western side of			than <del>10</del> <u>30</u>
SH26.			carparks for
		9	factory staff are
		^I	provided on the
			western side of
			SH26.

## Performance Standards 2.1

Number	Proposed Performance Standard	Comment	Relief Sought	Changes sought
2.1 (a) Building Height	The maximum height of any building and / or structure shall be no greater than 8m unless otherwise identified on the Development Concept Plan, except that: i) Up to 2 Boiler stacks per boiler and 4 exhaust vents per dryer (for up to 4 dryers) may exceed the height limit by up to 5m.	Revision of existing wording to provide clarity.	Accept, with amendments	The maximum height of any building and / or structure shall be no greater than 8m unless otherwise identified on the Development Concept Plan, except that the following may exceed the relevant height limit by 5 m:  i) Up to 2  Bboiler stacks per boiler; and ii) 4 exhaust vents per dryer (for a maximum of (for up to 4 dryers) may exceed the height limit by up to 5m.

2.1(b) Setbacks	All buildings and structures shall be set back a minimum of 10 metres from the site boundary except where otherwise shown on sheet 6 of the Development Concept Plan	The standard, read in conjunction with Sheet 6, Height Control Plan requires additional clarification as it is unclear how the setbacks apply in all instances. For example, on Sheet 6, there looks to be an 80m front yard east of State Highway 26.	Accept, with amendments	The addition of further detail to Sheet 6 — Height Control Plan displaying the setbacks on all boundaries of the Development Concept Plan.
2.1 (c) Building Colour	ii) Any building/ structure that is not in the nature of a silo, external piping or other milk processing equipment shall be finished in the following colours, excluding trim, fittings, guttering, detailing and signage:  a) Tatua colours  — off white, with red roofing and trim.	At least two buildings (PGG Wrightson buildings in Development Area 2 of the DCP) are not finished in keeping with this performance standard.	Accept, with amendments	Council encourages Tatua to ensure that all existing buildings currently comply with this performance standard.
2.1 (f) Operational Noise	(i) That the corrected noise level measured at the Noise Emission Control Boundary shall not exceed:  • Monday to Sunday including Public Holidays (7am to 10pm) 50 dB LAeq • All other times 45 dB LAeq and 75 dB LAmax	The noise level at the Noise Emission Control Boundary (NECB) should comply with Rural zone noise requirements.  This is supported by Council's peer review of the acoustic effects of the DCP proposal. It is also consistent with the proposed mitigation measures specified under	Accept, with amendments	(i) That the corrected noise level measured at the Noise Emission Control Boundary shall not exceed:  Monday to Sunday including Public Holidays (7am to 10pm) 50 dB LAeq All other times 45 dB LAeq and 75

r			ř – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	
		Performance		dB LAmax
		Standard 2.1 (f) iii		
		which relates only		
		to dwellings		(i) The
		within the NECB.		corrected
				noise level
		In contrast, the	1	measured at
		higher noise level		the Noise
		proposed for		Emission
		Performance		Control
		Standard 2.1 (f) ii		Boundary
		applies to		(NECB) shall
		dwellings outside		not exceed:
		the NECB which		·
		seems at odds		Monday to
		with the principle		Saturday (7am
		that generators of		to 10pm): 50
		noise should		dB LAeq
		mitigate its effects		3.3.27.04
		on others.		At all other
		5.7 5415/5/		times,
				including
				Sundays and
				Public
				Holidays: 40
				dB LAeq and
1				75 dB LAmax.
				TO GD LAMAX.
				10.00pm to
				7.00am: 65dB
				LAmax
2.1 (f)	(iv) Any new dwelling	Council questions	Reject	Delete
	or extension to any	whether this is	rtojoot	performance
1 '	bedroom or habitable	appropriate as a		standard
1	room in an existing	Performance		Standard
	dwelling constructed	Standard as it is		iv) Any new
	within the NECB shall	not related to, or		dwelling or
1	be designed to	controlled by, the		extension to
	achieve a level of	activities		any bedroom
1	30dB LAeq in any	undertaken on		or habitable
	bedroom and 40dB	site.		room in an
	LAeq in any other	JILO.		existing
	habitable room.	In addition,		dwelling
	navitable 100H.	Council questions		constructed
		whether the cost		within the
		of noise		NECB shall be
				designed to
		mitigation for any		achieve a level
		new dwelling or		
		extension to any		of 30dB LAcq
		bedroom or		in any
		habitable room		bedroom and
		should be borne		40dB LAeq in
		by property owners, or by the		any other habitable

		generators of noise.		room.
2.1 (m) Emissions to Air (Odour and Dust)	(i) There shall be no contaminants or particulate matter that has adverse effects on human health or causes objectionable effects beyond the boundary of the site.	To ensure consistency and clarity.	Accept, with amendments	(i) There shall be no contaminants or particulate matter that has adverse effects on human health or causes objectionable effects beyond the boundary of the site DCP.
2.1 (m) Emissions to Air (Odour and Dust)	(ii) Activities shall operate so as to ensure that dust generation is minimized. These activities shall be undertaken in a manner so as to avoid any adverse effects associated with dust and particulate emissions beyond the boundary of the site of emission.	To ensure consistency and clarity.	Accept, with amendments	(ii) Activities shall operate so as to ensure that dust generation is minimized. These activities shall be undertaken in a manner so as to avoid any adverse effects associated with dust and particulate emissions beyond the boundary of the site of emission DCP.
2.1(n) Earthworks	New performance standard.	To address material being tracked from the DCP site onto any road.	Accept, with amendments	(i)All earthworks to be managed in accordance with the Waikato Regional Plan and the Eerosion and sediment control guidelines for soil disturbing activities.  (ii) That all vehicle movements associated with construction and/or

				carriageway. Any material which may inadvertently deposit on the road must be immediately washed or swept clear of the road carriageway so that there is no hazard to the travelling public.
2.1(o) Landscaping	(i) Landscape planting, including retention of the existing oaks, shall be located in general accordance with the Development Concept Plan and is to be completed in accordance with the staging specified in the Development Concept Plan (Attachment B: sheets 7 -11). i.e.  Development within a building area requires planting to be undertaken in the corresponding planting area.	Remove redundant wording.	Accept, with amendments	(i) Landscape planting, including retention of the existing oaks, shall be located in general accordance with the Development Concept Plan and is to be completed in accordance with the staging specified in the Development Concept Plan (Attachment B: sheets 7 -11). i.e. Development within a building area requires planting to be undertaken in the corresponding planting area.
2.1(o) Landscaping	(ii) Prior to the construction of new buildings/structures with a gross floor area (GFA) greater than	Correct grammatical error.	Accept, with amendments	(ii) Prior to the construction of new buildings/structu res with a gross

	200m <sup>2</sup> or 8m in height, outside the existing DCP shown on sheet 7, a landscape plan shall be submitted to the Matamata-Piako District Council as per (i) above.			floor area (GFA) greater than 200m², or 8m in height, located outside the existing DCP shown on sheet 7, a landscape plan shall be submitted to the Matamata-Piako District Council as per (i) above.
2.1 (p) Carparking and Formation Standards	(ii) 9 visitor parking spaces shall be provided at all times.	Council staff question if nine visitor car parks will be adequate for an employer the size of Tatua, as this appears to be a reduction in the number of visitor car parks currently provided at the main reception.	Reject, unless an assessment of visitor parking is completed.	An assessment of visitor parking spaces should be undertaken. Council staff would expect that the number of visitor parking spaces would be directly proportional to any increase in Tatua's production. As a minimum, we would expect that the number of visitor car parks would use the status quo as a starting point.
2.1 (p) Carparking and Formation Standards	(ix) New performance standard.	To address parking on the road reserve.	Include new Performance Standard	ix) All parking should be located within the Development Concept Plan. No overspill of visitor or staff parking shall be located within the road reserve.
2.1(w)	i) Ancillary activities including offices, canteens, medical rooms, ablution facilities, daycare facilities and recreation facilities	It is unclear why daycare facilities and recreation facilities are included in the permitted activities	Reject, unless a further transportation assessment is completed	i) Ancillary activities including offices, canteens, medical rooms and ablution facilities,

process product related	sing of milk and ion of milk specification of milk specification asset shows a specification of milk specifica	cription. They e not cifically cribed in the ication or the sportation essment. If inclusion and the either fied in an inded esportation essment the evaluates traffic eration ess, or they	daycare facilities and recreation facilities associated with the processing of milk and production of milk related products are not subject to a size limit.
	effect show remo		

### Matters of Discretion

<u>Matter</u>	Proposed Matter of Discretion	Comment	Relief Sought	Changes sought
General	(a) Suitability of the activity with regards to its location as shown on the DCP	To recognise that effects produced within the DCP may spillover to the wider environment.	Accept, with amendments	(a) Suitability of the activity with regards to its location as shown on the DCP and/or within the wider environment.
Bulk and Location	(a) Any effects of an increase in building height or a reduced setback from internal and road boundaries on the rural amenity values in the locality and the reasonable use of adjoining land.	The reference to the effects of signage is more appropriate in this matter of discretion, than in a separate matter of discretion.  Rename matter of discretion to reflect the inclusion of signage.	Accept, with amendments	Bulk and, Location and Signage  (a) Any effects of an increase in signage, building height or a reduced setback from internal and road boundaries on the rural amenity values in the locality and the

				reasonable use of adjoining land.
Bulk and Location	(e) Proposed Signs	As above, the reference to the effects of signage is more appropriate in the Bulk and Location matters of discretion, than in a separate matter of discretion.	Reject	Ce) Proposed Signs  Renumber matters of discretion (f) and (g), accordingly.
Colour	(a) Alternative colour finishes and their effectiveness to address the visibility of the proposed structure individually and cumulatively within the Height Control Zone within the Development Concept Plan	Delete an unnecessarily restrictive clause to the matter of discretion.	Accept, with amendments	(a) Alternative colour finishes and their effectiveness to address the visibility of the proposed structure individually and cumulatively.  within the Height Control Zone within the Development Concept Plan
Odour	Title	Revise the title of the matter of discretion to incorporate all relevant emissions to air.	Accept, with amendments	Emissions to Air (Odour and Dust)
Odour	New matter of discretion for dust.	To ensure that the effects of dust and particulate matter are recognised as matters of discretion.	New Matter of Discretion	b) The effects of dust or particulate matter originating from the DCP site including, but not limited to, its composite material and quantity.

Item	Proposal	Comment	Relief Sought	Changes Sought
DCP Title	Milk Processing Factory, SH 26, Tatuanui	Substitute "Factory" with "Site" to ensure consistency of terminology in District Plan	Accept, with amendments	Milk Processing Factory Site, SH 26, Tatuanui
Sheet 7 Attachment B: Development Concept Plan – Planting Plan	Planting Area F on the key	The inclusion of Planting Area F on the key appears redundant due to the inclusion of Planting Area E/F. Building Area E is behind Building Area F, which is located beside State Highway 26. Therefore, the development of either Building Area E or F would require landscaping planting. The existing key entry for Planting Area E/F enables this.	Accept, with amendments	Remove "Planting Area F" from the key.
Sheet 7 Attachment B: Development Concept Plan – Planting Plan	Key	To ensure all features on the map are also referenced on the map's key.  Remove reference to "Attachment B:" from the title of Sheet 7 as it will be a component part of the DCP and not an attachment.	Accept, with amendments	1) Include on the key the boundaries for Development Areas 1-3 which are shown on Sheet 7.  2) Include on the "Proposed Planting" key, the planting feature, resembling small crosses, within Planting Areas A and F. Please see Attachment 1 for details.  3) Remove reference to

Sheets 8, 9 and 10 Attachment C: Development Concept Plan – Planting Cross Sections		Remove reference to "Attachment C:" from the title of Sheets 8, 9 and 10 as they will be component parts of the DCP and not attachments.	Accept, with amendments	"Attachment B:" from the title of Sheet 7. Remove reference to "Attachment C:" from the title of Sheets 8, 9 and 10.
Sheet 11 Attachment D: Development Concept Plan – Planting Schedule		Remove reference to "Attachment D:" from the title of Sheet 11 as it will be a component part of the DCP and not an attachment.	Accept, with amendments	Remove reference to "Attachment D:" from the title of Sheet 11.
State Highway 26/Brown Road intersection	N/A	Clear vegetation to improve visibility onto SH 26 from Brown Road.	Accept, with amendments	Request Tatua to undertake vegetation clearing as necessary to improve visibility at the State Highway 26/Brown Road intersection, and include a provision to maintain sightlines at this location.
District Plan map 25	Include a border around the Tatua DCP boundary on District Plan map 25.	A border indicating the physical extent of each DCP is to be included on District Plan maps for all existing and future DCP sites to ensure their location and spatial area is clearly represented.		Include a border around the Tatua DCP boundary on District Plan map 25.

Matamata-Piako District Council staff note that the suggested changes to the DCP application are, in most cases, minor and that they should be able to be addressed through further discussions with the Applicant.

Matamata-Piako District Council staff wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Regards

Dennis Bellamy

Group Manager Community Development Matamata-Piako District Council

